

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/206,132	FREEMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:(1) Cynthia L. Kanik**Status of Application:** After-Final

(3) _____

(2) Quang Nguyen

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 October 2003**Time:** 11:00**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner contacted attorney Kanik to request for the amendment as it appears in Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in conditions for allowance. Attorney Kanik accepted the proposed amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)